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## Minimal surfaces and their Weierstrass representation

Variation of the area functional

$$
A(F+\epsilon \nu)-A(F)=-2 \epsilon \int_{F} H \nu \cdot N d A+\ldots,
$$

$F$ - surface in $\mathbb{E}^{3} ; \nu$ - deformation field, $\nu_{\mid \partial F}=0$
$N$ - the unit normal field to the surface, H - the mean curvature
$H \equiv 0$ - minimal surface; locally

$$
F=\operatorname{Re} \int_{z_{0}}^{z}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\psi^{2}\right), \frac{i}{2}\left(1+\psi^{2}\right), \psi\right) \eta^{2} d z
$$

$\psi, \eta$ - holomorphic functions

## The Liouville equation

$$
u_{, z \bar{z}}=2 \mathrm{e}^{-u}
$$

Given a holomorphic function $\psi$

$$
\mathrm{e}^{-u}=\frac{\left|\psi_{, z}\right|^{2}}{\left(1+|\psi|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$
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## Conformal immersions of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{3}(\lambda)$

$\mathbb{H}^{3}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ hyperboloid $(X \mid X)=-\lambda^{-2}$ (the induced metric is positive definite and has constant sectional curvature) $F: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{3}(\lambda)$ - a conformal immersion of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{R}$ $z=x+i y$ - local complex coordinate

$$
\left(F_{, z} \mid F_{, z}\right)=\left(F_{, \bar{z}} \mid F_{, \bar{z}}\right)=0
$$

the vectors $F, F_{, z}, F_{, \bar{z}}$, and the unit normal $N$

$$
(F \mid N)=\left(F_{, z} \mid N\right)=\left(F_{, \bar{z}} \mid N\right)=0, \quad(N \mid N)=1
$$

form a (Gauss-Weingarten) basis in the (complexified) $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$.
Define functions $u, H$ and $Q$ by

$$
\left(F_{, z} \mid F_{, \bar{z}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{u}, \quad\left(F_{, z \bar{z}} \mid N\right)=\frac{1}{2} H \mathrm{e}^{u}, \quad\left(F_{, z z} \mid N\right)=Q
$$

## Conformal immersions of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{3}(\lambda)$ - cont.

Gauss-Weingarten equations of the moving frame

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{, z z} & =u_{, z} F_{, z}+Q N, \\
F_{, z \bar{z}} & =\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{u} F+\frac{1}{2} H \mathrm{e}^{u} N, \\
N_{, z} & =-H F_{, z}-2 Q \mathrm{e}^{-u} F_{, \bar{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations

$$
u_{, z \bar{z}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(H^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{u}-2|Q|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-u}=0, \quad Q_{, \bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2} H_{, z} \mathrm{e}^{u}
$$

## Remark

When $H \equiv \lambda$ and $Q \equiv 1$ we have the Liouville equation

## Spinors and $2 \times 2$ representation of GW equations

Identify the Lorentz space with $2 \times 2$ hermitean matrices
$X=\left(X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \leftrightarrow X^{\sigma}=X_{0} \mathbf{1}_{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{3} X_{k} \sigma_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X_{0}+X_{3} & X_{1}-i X_{2} \\ X_{1}+i X_{2} & X_{0}-X_{3}\end{array}\right)$
$\mathbf{1}_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -i \\ i & 0\end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)$
We use the homomorphism $\rho: \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3,1)$ given by

$$
(\rho(a) X)^{\sigma}=a^{+} X^{\sigma} a
$$

We will be looking for $\Phi \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ which transforms the orthonormal basis $\left(\mathbf{1}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ into the orthonormal basis

$$
\left(\lambda F^{\sigma}, \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2} F_{, x}^{\sigma}, \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2} F_{, y}^{\sigma}, N^{\sigma}\right)=\Phi^{+}\left(\mathbf{1}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right) \Phi
$$

## $2 \times 2$ linear problem

Define the $\mathfrak{s l l}(2, \mathbb{C})$ valued functions $U, V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{, z}=U \Phi, \quad \Phi_{, z}^{+}=\Phi^{+} V \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we also have

$$
\Phi_{, \bar{z}}=V^{+} \Phi, \quad \Phi_{, z}^{+}=\Phi^{+} U^{+}
$$

and the matrices $U$ and $V$ have the following form

$$
U=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{4} u_{, z} & -Q \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{u / 2}(\lambda+H) & -\frac{1}{4} u_{, z}
\end{array}\right), \quad V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{4} u_{, z} & Q \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2} \\
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{u / 2}(\lambda-H) & \frac{1}{4} u_{, z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## The formula for immersion

## Proposition

Given solution $(u, Q, H)$ of the GMC equations, and given $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ valued solution of the above linear system, then

$$
F^{\sigma}=\frac{1}{\lambda} \Phi^{+} \Phi
$$

represents a conformal immersion in $\mathbb{H}^{3}(\lambda)$
Problem: In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we have $\mathbb{H}^{3}(\lambda) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{3}$, but because of $\lambda$ in the denominator $F^{\sigma}$ blows up.

Solution: Before taking the limit we shift the origin from the center of the hyperboloid to one of its points
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$$
\tilde{F}^{\sigma}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\Phi^{+} \Phi-\mathbf{1}_{2}\right)
$$

## $H \equiv \lambda$ surfaces

Reduced GMC equations

$$
u_{, z \bar{z}}-2|Q|^{2} \mathrm{e}^{-u}=0, \quad Q_{, \bar{z}}=0
$$

Reduced linear problem

$$
\Phi_{, z}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{4} u_{, z} & -Q \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2} \\
\lambda \mathrm{e}^{u / 2} & -\frac{1}{4} u_{, z}
\end{array}\right) \Phi, \quad \Phi_{, \bar{z}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{1}{4} u_{, \bar{z}} & 0 \\
\bar{Q} \mathrm{e}^{-u / 2} & \frac{1}{4} u_{, \bar{z}}
\end{array}\right) \Phi
$$

The same GMC equations as for minimal of surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$
Given two arbitrary holomorphic functions $\eta, \psi$ we obtain general solution of the reduced GMC system

$$
\mathrm{e}^{u / 2}=\eta \bar{\eta}(1+\psi \bar{\psi}), \quad Q=-\eta^{2} \psi_{, z}
$$

## Soliton surfaces approach

Start from a Lax pair

$$
\Psi_{, x}=U(\lambda) \Psi, \quad \Psi_{, y}=V(\lambda) \Psi
$$

$U(x, y ; \lambda), V(x, y ; \lambda)$ take values in a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$
The corresponding nonlinear system (Zakharov-Shabat equations):

$$
U_{, y}(\lambda)-V_{, x}(\lambda)+[U(\lambda), V(\lambda)]=0
$$

For $\Psi(\lambda)$ taking values in the Lie group $G$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, the Sym formula

$$
F(x, y ; \lambda)=\Psi^{-1}(x, y ; \lambda) \Psi(x, y ; \lambda)_{, \lambda}
$$

for fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, gives a surface in $\mathfrak{g}$, provided the tangent vectors

$$
F_{, x}=\Psi^{-1} U_{, \lambda} \Psi, \quad F_{, y}=\Psi^{-1} V_{, \lambda} \Psi
$$

are linearly independent
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## Solution of the linear problem

After the gauge transform $\Psi=M \Phi$, where

$$
M=\frac{1}{(1+\psi \bar{\psi})^{1 / 2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\frac{\eta}{\bar{\eta}}\right)^{1 / 2} \psi & -\left(\frac{\eta}{\bar{\eta}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\left(\frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta}\right)^{1 / 2} & \left(\frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta}\right)^{1 / 2} \bar{\psi}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) .
$$

the function $\Psi$ satisfies the following linear system

$$
\Psi_{, z}=\lambda \eta^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\psi & -1 \\
\psi^{2} & -\psi
\end{array}\right) \Psi, \quad \Psi_{, \bar{z}}=0
$$

whose fundamental solution is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi(z)=\mathbf{1}_{2}+\lambda \int_{z_{0}}^{z} d z_{1} \eta\left(z_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\psi\left(z_{1}\right) & -1 \\
\psi\left(z_{1}\right)^{2} & -\psi\left(z_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\ldots \\
+\lambda^{k} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} d z_{k} \ldots \int_{z_{0}}^{z_{2}} d z_{1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta\left(z_{i}\right)^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\psi\left(z_{i+1}\right)-\psi\left(z_{i}\right)\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\psi\left(z_{1}\right) & -1 \\
\psi\left(z_{1}\right) \psi\left(z_{k}\right) & -\psi\left(z_{k}\right)
\end{array}\right)+\ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

## Recovering the Weierstrass representation

We need only first two terms $\Psi=\mathbf{1}_{2}+\lambda \Psi_{1}+\ldots$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \tilde{\boldsymbol{F}}^{\sigma}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(\Phi^{+} \Phi-\mathbf{1}_{2}\right)=\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{1}^{+}= \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{l}
\int^{z} \eta^{2} \psi \boldsymbol{d} \zeta+\overline{\int^{z} \eta^{2} \psi \boldsymbol{d} \zeta} \\
\int^{z} \eta^{2} \psi^{2} \boldsymbol{d} \zeta-\int^{z} \eta^{2} \boldsymbol{d} \zeta+\overline{\int^{z} \eta^{2} \boldsymbol{d} \zeta}
\end{array}-\int^{z} \eta^{2} \psi \boldsymbol{\psi ^ { 2 } d \zeta}\right. \\
\hline \int^{z} \eta^{2} \psi \boldsymbol{d} \zeta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

