## Minimal surfaces in the soliton surfaces approach

#### Adam Doliwa

doliwa@matman.uwm.edu.pl

University of Warmia and Mazury (Olsztyn, Poland)

Integrable Systems 2012

21-22 June, 2012, Olsztyn

joint work with Michael Grundland

 $lue{1}$  Minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ 

- 2 Lax pair for the Liouville equation
- The Weierstrass representation

- $lue{1}$  Minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^3$
- 2 Lax pair for the Liouville equation
- The Weierstrass representation

# Minimal surfaces and their Weierstrass representation

Variation of the area functional

$$A(F + \epsilon \nu) - A(F) = -2\epsilon \int_F H \nu \cdot N \, dA + \dots,$$

F - surface in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ ;  $\nu$  - deformation field,  $u_{|\partial F} = 0$ 

 ${\it N}$  - the unit normal field to the surface,  ${\it H}$  - the mean curvature

 $H \equiv 0$  – minimal surface; locally

$$F = {
m Re} \int_{z_0}^z \left( rac{1}{2} (1 - \psi^2), rac{i}{2} (1 + \psi^2), \psi 
ight) \eta^2 \, dz$$

 $\psi$ ,  $\eta$  - holomorphic functions

# The Liouville equation

$$u_{,z\bar{z}}=2e^{-u}$$

Given a holomorphic function  $\psi$ 

$$e^{-u} = \frac{|\psi_{,z}|^2}{(1+|\psi|^2)^2}$$

Minimal surfaces in E<sup>3</sup>

- 2 Lax pair for the Liouville equation
- The Weierstrass representation

# Conformal immersions of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda)$

 $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3,1}$  hyperboloid  $(X|X) = -\lambda^{-2}$  (the induced metric is positive definite and has constant sectional curvature)

 $F: \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{H}^3(\lambda)$  – a conformal immersion of the Riemann surface  $\mathcal{R}$  z = x + iy – local complex coordinate

$$(F_{,z}|F_{,z})=(F_{,\bar{z}}|F_{,\bar{z}})=0$$

the vectors F, F,Z, F, $\overline{Z}$ , and the unit normal N

$$(F|N) = (F_{,z}|N) = (F_{,\bar{z}}|N) = 0, \qquad (N|N) = 1,$$

form a (Gauss–Weingarten) basis in the (complexified)  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ . Define functions u, H and Q by

$$(F_{,z}|F_{,\bar{z}}) = \frac{1}{2}e^{u}, \qquad (F_{,z\bar{z}}|N) = \frac{1}{2}He^{u}, \qquad (F_{,zz}|N) = Q$$

# Conformal immersions of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda)$ – cont.

Gauss-Weingarten equations of the moving frame

$$F_{,zz} = u_{,z}F_{,z} + QN,$$
 
$$F_{,z\bar{z}} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}e^uF + \frac{1}{2}He^uN,$$
 
$$N_{,z} = -HF_{,z} - 2Qe^{-u}F_{,\bar{z}}$$

The Gauss-Mainardi-Codazzi equations

$$u_{,z\bar{z}} + \frac{1}{2} (H^2 - \lambda^2) e^u - 2|Q|^2 e^{-u} = 0, \qquad Q_{,\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} H_{,z} e^u$$

#### Remark

When  $H \equiv \lambda$  and  $Q \equiv 1$  we have the Liouville equation

## Spinors and 2 × 2 representation of GW equations

Identify the Lorentz space with  $2 \times 2$  hermitean matrices

$$X = (X_0, X_1, X_2, X_3) \leftrightarrow X^{\sigma} = X_0 \mathbf{1}_2 + \sum_{k=1}^3 X_k \sigma_k = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 + X_3 & X_1 - iX_2 \\ X_1 + iX_2 & X_0 - X_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{1}_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array}\right), \quad \sigma_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array}\right)$$

We use the homomorphism  $\rho : SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \to SO(3, 1)$  given by

$$(\rho(a)X)^{\sigma}=a^{+}X^{\sigma}a.$$

We will be looking for  $\Phi \in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$  which transforms the orthonormal basis  $(\mathbf{1}_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$  into the orthonormal basis

$$\left(\lambda F^{\sigma}, \mathrm{e}^{-u/2} F^{\sigma}_{,x}, \mathrm{e}^{-u/2} F^{\sigma}_{,y}, N^{\sigma}\right) = \Phi^{+}(\mathbf{1}_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3})\Phi.$$

### 2 × 2 linear problem

Define the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$  valued functions U, V by

$$\Phi_{,z} = U\Phi, \qquad \Phi_{,z}^+ = \Phi^+ V, \tag{1}$$

then we also have

$$\Phi_{,\bar{z}} = V^+ \Phi, \qquad \Phi_{,z}^+ = \Phi^+ U^+.$$

and the matrices U and V have the following form

$$U = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{4}u_{,z} & -Q\mathrm{e}^{-u/2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{u/2}(\lambda + H) & -\frac{1}{4}u_{,z} \end{array} \right), \qquad V = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{4}u_{,z} & Q\mathrm{e}^{-u/2} \\ \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{e}^{u/2}(\lambda - H) & \frac{1}{4}u_{,z} \end{array} \right)$$

### The formula for immersion

#### Proposition

Given solution (u, Q, H) of the GMC equations, and given  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  valued solution of the above linear system, then

$$F^{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Phi^{+} \Phi,$$

represents a conformal immersion in  $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda)$ 

Problem: In the limit  $\lambda \to 0$  we have  $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda) \to \mathbb{E}^3$ , but because of  $\lambda$  in the denominator  $F^{\sigma}$  blows up.

Solution: Before taking the limit we shift the origin from the center of the hyperboloid to one of its points

$$\tilde{F}^{\sigma} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \Phi^{+} \Phi - \mathbf{1}_{2} \right)$$

### The formula for immersion

#### Proposition

Given solution (u, Q, H) of the GMC equations, and given  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  valued solution of the above linear system, then

$$F^{\sigma} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Phi^{+} \Phi,$$

represents a conformal immersion in  $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda)$ 

Problem: In the limit  $\lambda \to 0$  we have  $\mathbb{H}^3(\lambda) \to \mathbb{E}^3$ , but because of  $\lambda$  in the denominator  $F^{\sigma}$  blows up.

Solution: Before taking the limit we shift the origin from the center of the hyperboloid to one of its points

$$ilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\sigma} = \lim_{\lambda 
ightarrow 0} rac{1}{\lambda} \left( \Phi^+ \Phi - \mathbf{1}_2 
ight)$$

### $H \equiv \lambda$ surfaces

#### Reduced GMC equations

$$u_{,z\bar{z}} - 2|Q|^2 e^{-u} = 0, \qquad Q_{,\bar{z}} = 0,$$

Reduced linear problem

$$\Phi_{,z} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{4}u_{,z} & -Q\mathrm{e}^{-u/2} \\ \lambda\mathrm{e}^{u/2} & -\frac{1}{4}u_{,z} \end{array} \right) \Phi, \qquad \Phi_{,\bar{z}} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} -\frac{1}{4}u_{,\bar{z}} & 0 \\ \bar{Q}\mathrm{e}^{-u/2} & \frac{1}{4}u_{,\bar{z}} \end{array} \right) \Phi$$

The same GMC equations as for minimal of surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ 

Given two arbitrary holomorphic functions  $\eta,\,\psi$  we obtain general solution of the reduced GMC system

$$e^{u/2} = \eta \bar{\eta} \left( 1 + \psi \bar{\psi} \right), \qquad Q = -\eta^2 \psi_{,z}.$$

# Soliton surfaces approach

Start from a Lax pair

$$\Psi_{,x} = U(\lambda)\Psi, \qquad \Psi_{,y} = V(\lambda)\Psi,$$

 $U(x,y;\lambda),\ V(x,y;\lambda)$  take values in a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$ 

The corresponding nonlinear system (Zakharov–Shabat equations):

$$U_{,y}(\lambda) - V_{,x}(\lambda) + [U(\lambda), V(\lambda)] = 0$$

For  $\Psi(\lambda)$  taking values in the Lie group G of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the Sym formula

$$F(x, y; \lambda) = \Psi^{-1}(x, y; \lambda)\Psi(x, y; \lambda)_{,\lambda},$$

for fixed  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , gives a surface in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , provided the tangent vectors

$$F_{,x} = \Psi^{-1} U_{,\lambda} \Psi, \qquad F_{,y} = \Psi^{-1} V_{,\lambda} \Psi$$

are linearly independent

 $\bigcirc$  Minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}^3$ 

- 2 Lax pair for the Liouville equation
- The Weierstrass representation

## Solution of the linear problem

After the gauge transform  $\Psi = M\Phi$ , where

$$M = \frac{1}{(1+\psi\bar{\psi})^{1/2}} \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\eta}{\bar{\eta}}\right)^{1/2}\psi & -\left(\frac{\eta}{\bar{\eta}}\right)^{1/2} \\ \left(\frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta}\right)^{1/2} & \left(\frac{\bar{\eta}}{\eta}\right)^{1/2}\bar{\psi} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$

the function Ψ satisfies the following linear system

$$\Psi_{,z} = \lambda \eta^2 \left( egin{array}{cc} \psi & -1 \\ \psi^2 & -\psi \end{array} 
ight) \Psi, \qquad \Psi_{,\bar{z}} = 0.$$

whose fundamental solution is

$$\Psi(z) = \mathbf{1}_{2} + \lambda \int_{z_{0}}^{z} dz_{1} \eta(z_{1})^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \psi(z_{1}) & -1 \\ \psi(z_{1})^{2} & -\psi(z_{1}) \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

$$+ \lambda^{k} \int_{z_{0}}^{z} dz_{k} \dots \int_{z_{0}}^{z_{2}} dz_{1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \eta(z_{i})^{2} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (\psi(z_{i+1}) - \psi(z_{i})) \begin{pmatrix} \psi(z_{1}) & -1 \\ \psi(z_{1})\psi(z_{k}) & -\psi(z_{k}) \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

# Recovering the Weierstrass representation

We need only first two terms  $\Psi = \mathbf{1}_2 + \lambda \Psi_1 + \dots$ 

$$\tilde{\textit{F}}^{\sigma} = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( \Phi^{+} \Phi - \textbf{1}_{2} \right) = \Psi_{1} + \Psi_{1}^{+} =$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{cc} \int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \psi \, \mathrm{d}\zeta + \overline{\int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \psi \, \mathrm{d}\zeta} & -\int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \, \mathrm{d}\zeta + \overline{\int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \psi^2 \, \mathrm{d}\zeta} \\ \int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \psi^2 \, \mathrm{d}\zeta - \overline{\int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \, \mathrm{d}\zeta} & -\int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \psi \, \mathrm{d}\zeta - \overline{\int^{\mathbf{z}} \eta^2 \psi \, \mathrm{d}\zeta} \end{array} \right)$$