

Generalizations of Killing spinors

Petr Zima

(joint with P. Somberg and I. Agricola)



FACULTY
OF MATHEMATICS
AND PHYSICS
Charles University

Dirac Operators in Differential Geometry
and Global analysis

16–20 September, 2019

(M, g) a (pseudo-) Riemannian spin manifold of dimension n ,
 ∇^g the Levi-Civita and the induced spin connection

Killing spinors

Let $\Psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma M)$, where ΣM is the complex spinor bundle.

$$\nabla_X^g \Psi = a X \cdot \Psi \quad (1)$$

► *Killing number* $a \in \mathbb{C}$, either real or purely imaginary

► 1st integrability condition: $\mathcal{R}_{X,Y}^g \Psi = -a^2 [X, Y] \Psi$

$\Rightarrow (M, g)$ is **Einstein** with $\text{Scal}^g = 4 a^2 n(n-1)$.

► Ψ is an eigenvector of the *Dirac operator*: $\lambda_0 = -na$

► λ_0 is extremal in the sense of Friedrich's inequality:

$$\lambda^2 \geq \lambda_0^2 = \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \text{Scal}^g$$

► **Cone construction** \Rightarrow Holonomy classification for
compact Riemannian manifolds via Berger's list

Generalized Killing spinors

$$\nabla_X^g \Psi = S(X) \cdot \Psi \quad (2)$$

where $S(X)$ is a section of *symmetric endomorphisms* of TM .

- ▶ Originally discovered as the restriction of a parallel spinor to a hypersurface. In this case, $S(X)$ is the *shape operator*.

Special cases by imposing restrictions on $S(X)$:

- ▶ *T-Killing spinors* $\equiv \text{tr}(S)$ is constant

We are mostly interested in the case when **the eigenvalues are constant**. The corresponding eigendistributions typically come from an additional special Riemannian structure.

- ▶ $SU(2)$ -structures ($n = 5$), $SU(3)$ -structures ($n = 6$), G_2 -structures ($n = 7$), Sasakian and 3-Sasakian structures

From this point of view, the equation is **not invariant** with respect to the spin (pseudo-) Riemannian structure alone.

Killing(-Yano) forms

Let $\eta \in \Omega^p(M)$ and $\varphi \in \Omega^{p+1}(M)$.

$$\nabla_X^g \eta = X \lrcorner \varphi \quad (3)$$

- ▶ *Projectively invariant* when appropriately weighted.
- ▶ Prolongation: $\bigwedge^{p+1} \mathbb{T}^* \cong \bigwedge^p \mathbb{T}^* M \oplus \bigwedge^{p+1} \mathbb{T}^* M$ with the tractor connection modified by the Weyl tensor

Special Killing forms

$$\nabla_X^g \eta = X \lrcorner \varphi, \quad \nabla_X^g \varphi = -c X^b \wedge \eta \quad (4)$$

- ▶ A prominent example are *Sasakian structures*.
- ▶ **Cone construction** \Rightarrow Holonomy classification
- ▶ We have $\text{Scal} = c n(n-1)$ for compact manifolds.

Q: Can we deduce $\text{Scal} = c n(n-1)$ for compact manifolds directly without going through the classification?

Killing spinor-valued forms

Let $\Phi \in \Omega^p(M, \Sigma M)$ and $\Xi \in \Omega^{p+1}(M, \Sigma M)$.

$$\nabla_X^g \Phi = a X \cdot \Phi + X \lrcorner \Xi \quad (5)$$

- ▶ Briefly appeared in physics in the context of supergravity.
- ▶ Tensor products of a Killing spinor and a Killing form.
- ▶ The prolongation is similar to the scalar-valued case, just with additional curvature terms on the spinor part.

Special Killing spinor-valued forms

$$\nabla_X^g \Phi = a X \cdot \Phi + X \lrcorner \Xi, \quad \nabla_X^g \Xi = a X \cdot \Xi - c X^\flat \wedge \Phi \quad (6)$$

- ▶ Does not imply Einstein in general.
- ▶ **Cone construction** again works, but only if $c = 4a^2$.

Q: Can we deduce $c = 4a^2$ for compact manifolds by clever use of integrability conditions and Stokes theorem?

Cone construction

The ε -metric cone over a (pseudo-) Riemannian manifold (M, g) is the warped product $\overline{M} = M \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with metric

$$\overline{g}_\varepsilon = r^2 \pi_1^*(g) + \varepsilon dr^2, \quad (7)$$

where r is the coordinate function on \mathbb{R}_+ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Analogously to the cases of spinors (Bär 1993, Bohle 2003) and scalar-valued forms (Simmelmann 2003), we have:

Proposition (Somberg, Zima 2016)

A spinor-valued p -form Φ on M is special Killing with constants $a = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and $c = \varepsilon$ if and only if the $(p+1)$ -form Θ_\pm ,

$$\Theta_\pm = (1 \mp \sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_r) \cdot (r^p dr \wedge \pi_1^*(\Phi) + r^{p+1} \pi_1^*(\Xi)) \quad (8)$$

is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection $\overline{\nabla}^{\overline{g}_\varepsilon}$ on \overline{M} .

$\Rightarrow \text{Hol}(\overline{M}, \overline{g}_\varepsilon)$ must fix the spinor-valued $(p+1)$ -form Θ_\pm .

2nd order Killing spinors

\equiv Spinor-valued special Killing 0-forms \Leftrightarrow 2nd order equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^g)_{X,Y}^2 \Psi &= -a^2 X \cdot Y \cdot \Psi + \\ &+ a (Y \cdot (\nabla_X^g \Psi) + X \cdot (\nabla_Y^g \Psi)) - c g(X, Y) \Psi \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

- ▶ 1st integrability condition: $\mathcal{R}_{X,Y}^g \Psi = -a^2 [X \cdot, Y \cdot] \Psi$
 \Rightarrow Again (M, g) is **Einstein**.
- ▶ Includes Killing spinors with Killing number $a' = -a$.
- ▶ Spinorial analog of the equation from Obata's theorem.

Classification for *compact Riemannian manifolds*:

n	$\text{Hol}(\overline{M}, \overline{g}_+)$	Structure on M	Ψ
$2m + 1$	$\text{SU}(m + 1)$	Sasakian	X
$4k + 3$	$\text{Sp}(k + 1)$	3-Sasakian	✓
7	$\text{Spin}(7)$	G_2 -structure	X
6	G_2	Nearly Kähler	?

Sasakian manifolds

Riemannian $(M, g, \varphi, \xi, \eta)$, $n = 2m + 1$, such that:

- ▶ *almost contact*: $\varphi^2 = -\text{Id}_{TM} + \eta \otimes \xi$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$
- ▶ *normal*: Nijenhuis torsion $N_\varphi = [\varphi, \varphi] + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$
- ▶ *compatible metric*: $g(\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$
- ▶ *contact*: $d\eta = 2\Phi$ where $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi(Y))$

Equivalent definitions:

$\Leftrightarrow \eta$ is a **special Killing 1-form** with $c = 1$ and $|\eta| = 1$.

\Leftrightarrow The cone $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}_+)$ is Kähler.

Theorem (Friedrich, Kath 1990; Bär 2003)

Let M a complete simply connected Sasaki-Einstein manifold, $m \geq 2$, then M carries **2 Killing spinors** with $a = \pm \frac{1}{2}$.

3-Sasakian manifolds

Riemannian $(M, g, \varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$, $n = 4k + 3$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, such that each $(\varphi_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)$ is a Sasakian structure and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_k &= \varphi_i \varphi_j - \eta_j \otimes \xi_i = -\varphi_j \varphi_i + \eta_i \otimes \xi_j, \\ \xi_k &= \varphi_i \xi_j = -\varphi_j \xi_i, \quad \eta_k = \eta_i \phi_j = -\eta_j \phi_i.\end{aligned}$$

\Leftrightarrow The cone $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}_+)$ is hyper-Kähler.

\Rightarrow Always **Einstein**.

Theorem (Friedrich, Kath 1990; Bär 2003)

Let M a complete simply connected 3-Sasaki manifold, $k \geq 1$, then M carries $k + 2$ **Killing spinors** with $a = \frac{1}{2}$.

In dimension 7 the 3 Killing spinors Ψ_i are given by

$$\Psi_i = \xi_i \cdot \Psi_0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (10)$$

where Ψ_0 is a so called **canonical spinor**.

3-(α, δ)-Sasakian manifolds

Split $TM = \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ to the *vertical* and *horizontal* distribution,

$$\mathcal{V} = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle, \quad \mathcal{H} = \ker \eta_1 \cap \ker \eta_2 \cap \ker \eta_3.$$

Rescale g on \mathcal{V} and $\mathcal{H} \rightsquigarrow$ 2-parameter family of metrics $g_{\alpha, \delta} \rightsquigarrow$ 3-(α, δ)-Sasakian manifolds with $\alpha\delta > 0$

Proposition (Agricola, Dileo 2019)

$(M, g_{\alpha, \delta})$ is Einstein if and only if $\delta = \alpha$ or $\delta = (2k + 3)\alpha$.

In dimension 7:

$\delta = \alpha$	$g = g_{1,1}$	the original 3-Sasakian structure
$\delta = 5\alpha$	$\widetilde{g} = g_{1,5}$	canonical cocalibrated G_2 -structure

G_2 -structure on (M, \widetilde{g}) , canonical connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^c$ with torsion \rightsquigarrow distinguished parallel spinor field Ψ_0 ,

$$\widetilde{\nabla}^c_X \Psi_0 = 0. \tag{11}$$

Defines the **canonical spinor** of (M, g) .

Canonical spinor in dimension 7

Theorem (Agricola, Friedrich 2010)

The canonical spinor Ψ_0 is a **generalized Killing spinor**,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\xi}^g \Psi_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \xi \cdot \Psi_0, & \xi &\in \mathcal{V}, \\ \nabla_Y^g \Psi_0 &= -\frac{3}{2} Y \cdot \Psi_0, & Y &\in \mathcal{H}.\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

Theorem (Zima (*unpublished*))

The canonical spinor Ψ_0 is also a **2nd order Killing spinor** with constants $a = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $c = 1$ which is not a Killing spinor.

- ▶ Invariant description of the canonical spinor Ψ_0 .
- ▶ Discovered on the *Aloff-Wallach space* $N(1, 1)$ using CAS and subsequently identified with Ψ_0 .
- ▶ WIP: Describe the solution Ψ_0 in general for $n = 4k + 3$ directly as an invariant of $\text{Hol}(\overline{M}, \overline{g}_+) = \text{Sp}(k + 1)$.

Higher order generalizations

- ▶ The spinor-valued skew-symmetric forms are not suitable for higher order generalization, we need to consider **symmetric** (covariant) tensor-spinors of rank $p \geq 2$.
- ▶ In order to deduce the appropriate PDE we can start from the cone construction.

Let $\Theta \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}^p(T^*\bar{M}) \otimes \Sigma\bar{M})$ be *parallel* with respect to $\bar{\nabla}^{g_\varepsilon}$.

\rightsquigarrow Project to **$(p + 1)^{\text{th}}$ order Killing spinor** Ψ_\pm on (M, g) ,

$$\Psi_\pm = (\pi_1)_* \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_r) \cdot \Theta(\partial_r, \dots, \partial_r) \right). \quad (13)$$

- ▶ The prolongation in case of symmetric p -tensors has $p + 1$ components and is combinatorially involved.
- ▶ Alternatively we can start from the first BGG operator on projective symmetric covariant tractors of rank $p \geq 2$.

References

- Agricola, I., Dileo, G.** Generalizations of 3-Sasakian manifolds and skew torsion. *Adv. Geom.* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1515/advgeom-2018-0036>.
- Agricola, I., Friedrich, T.** 3-Sasakian manifolds in dimension seven, their spinors and G_2 -structures. *J. Geom. Phys.* **60** (2010), no. 2, 326–332.
- Bär, C.** Real Killing spinors and holonomy. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **154** (1993), no. 3, 509–521.
- Friedrich, T., Kath, I.** 7-dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds with Killing spinors. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **133** (1990), no. 3, 543–561.
- Semmelmann, U.** Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds. *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), no. 3, 503–527.
- Somberg, P., Zima, P.** Killing spinor-valued forms and the cone construction. *Arch. Math. (Brno)* **52** (2016), no. 5, 341–355.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!